



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,301	03/18/2004	Nikhil Jain	030259U2	7810

23696 7590 04/07/2005

Qualcomm Incorporated
Patents Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121-1714

EXAMINER

BHATTACHARYA, SAM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2687

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/804,301

Applicant(s)

JAIN ET AL.

Examiner

Sam Bhattacharya

Art Unit

2687

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aisenberg (US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0116155 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 12 and 17, Aisenberg discloses a general global gateway 82 configured to support communication between a GSM network and a CDMA network to enable a mobile station (MS) 50 subscribed in the GSM network to communicate using the CDMA network, comprising: a database 20 configured to store a number of times the mobile station has accessed the GGG; and a logic unit 83 configured to execute program logic to determine whether a parameter is received from the mobile station, the parameter representing a count of a predetermined event that is a mutually agreed upon event between the GGG and mobile station. See FIG. 11, paragraph [0002], lines 1-9 and paragraph [0046], lines 1-29.

Regarding claim 2, Aisenberg discloses that the count represents the number of times the mobile station has accessed the GGG, equal to the stored number of times the mobile station has accessed the GGG. See paragraph [0046], lines 14-23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 3, 6, 8, 11, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aisenberg in view of Raffel et al. (US 5,675,629).

Regarding claims 3, 8 and 13, Aisenberg fails to disclose determining whether a registration notification from the mobile station was received before a timer expires.

However, Raffel et al. discloses a mobile registration system in which a control mechanism determines whether a registration notification from the mobile station was received before a timer expires. See col. 27, lines 18-27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile system of Aisenberg by relating a registration notification to a timer, as taught by Raffel et al., to decide whether to continue with other processes in the mobile system.

Regarding claims 6, 11 and 16, Aisenberg fails to disclose a location register configured to store a location of the mobile station to enable a call incoming to the mobile station from the GSM network to route the incoming call to the mobile station through the GGG.

However, Raffel et al. disclose a mobile system in which a location register performs storing of mobile location and routes incoming calls to the mobile network through a gateway. See col. 35, lines 24-37. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile system of Aisenberg by including a location register, as taught by Saito, so that mobile locations can be properly tracked as mobiles move from network to network.

4. Claims 4, 9, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aisenberg in view of Saito et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0044295 A1).

Regarding claims 4, 9, 14 and 18, Aisenberg fails to disclose a timer that is used by the GGG to determine a period of time in which the mobile station is authorized to communicate with the GSM network.

However, Saito discloses a mobile system in which a timer is used to determine a period of time in which the mobile station is authorized to communicate with the network. See paragraph [0074]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile system of Aisenberg by relating an authentication to a timer, as taught by Saito, to increase the chances of warding off unauthorized accesses to the system.

5. Claims 5, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aisenberg in view of Hartmaier (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0137899 A1).

Regarding claims 5, 10 and 15, Aisenberg fails to disclose a short message service center (SMSC) configured to send and receive SMS messages to and from the CDMA network.

However, Hartmaier discloses a mobile system in which disclose a short message service center that sends and receives SMS messages to and from CDMA network 240. See FIG. 2 and paragraph [0025]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile system of Aisenberg by including a short message service center, as taught by Hartmaier, so that short messages in addition to voice calls can be communicated over networks having different protocols.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yamaguchi et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0003056 A1) discloses a system in which a network keeps track of mobile accesses.

Martschitsch (US 6,223,026) discloses a mobile system that uses a mobile subscriber identification card.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Bhattacharya whose telephone number is (571)272-7917.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO 4/1/05
PATENT EXAMINER

sb